This article was downloaded by:

On: 27 January 2011

Access details: Access Details: Free Access

Publisher Taylor & Francis

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon and the Related Elements

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713618290

Facile Room-Temperature MgBr₂· OEt₂-Catalyzed Thiolysis of Epoxides Under Solvent-Free Conditions

Mohammad M. Mojtahedi^a; M. Saeed Abaee^a; Mohammad Bolourtchian^a; Hassan Abbasi^a Organosilicon Laboratory, Chemistry and Chemical Engineering Research Center of Iran, Tehran, Iran

To cite this Article Mojtahedi, Mohammad M. , Abaee, M. Saeed , Bolourtchian, Mohammad and Abbasi, Hassan(2007) 'Facile Room-Temperature $MgBr_2 \cdot OEt_2$ -Catalyzed Thiolysis of Epoxides Under Solvent-Free Conditions', Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon and the Related Elements, 182: 4, 905 — 910

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/10426500601088697 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10426500601088697

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon, 182:905-910, 2007

Copyright © Taylor & Francis Group, LLC ISSN: 1042-6507 print / 1563-5325 online

DOI: 10.1080/10426500601088697



Facile Room-Temperature MgBr₂·OEt₂-Catalyzed Thiolysis of Epoxides Under Solvent-Free Conditions

Mohammad M. Mojtahedi M. Saeed Abaee Mohammad Bolourtchian Hassan Abbasi

Organosilicon Laboratory, Chemistry and Chemical Engineering Research Center of Iran, Tehran, Iran

Solvent-free ring opening of 1,2-epoxides with aromatic and aliphatic thiols under 1 mol% magnesium bromide ethyl etherate catalysis affords rapid formation of β -hydroxy sulfides at ambient temperature with excellent yields. Nucleophilic attack of the thiols occurs regioselectively at the less hindered position of the epoxides.

Keywords Epoxides; magnesium bromide catalysis; solvent-free reaction; thiols

Ring opening of epoxides with thiols is an important method in organic synthesis and has found many uses in pharmaceutical and natural product chemistry, particularly for the synthesis of leukotrienes. Recent approaches for the synthesis of β -hydroxy sulfides have been involving a nucleophilic ring opening of epoxides with thiols in the presence of species such as $InCl_3$, $InCl_2$, alumina, $InCl_2$, $InCl_2$

Received August 14, 2006; accepted September 26, 2006.

Partial financial support by the Ministry of Science, Research, and Technology of Iran is greatly appreciated.

Address correspondence to Mohammad M. Mojtahedi, Chemistry and Chemical Engineering Research Center of Iran, P.O. Box 14335-186, Tehran, Iran. E-mail: mojtahedi@ccerci.ac.ir

Lewis acid catalysis still involves the use of minimum 5 mol% of a relatively expensive catalyst 4b,20 or employs rather complex procedures. 21

In recent years, magnesium bromide ethyl etherate (MgBr₂·OEt₂) has found many applications as a mild Lewis-acid to ease various synthetic organic transformations. ²² In this context, we have demonstrated the usefulness of MgBr₂·OEt₂ in Diels-Alder cycloadditions, ²³ Cannizzaro reactions, ²⁴ aldol condensation, ²⁵ α -aminonitrile syntheses, ²⁶ and alcohols protection. ²⁷ In continuation of these experiences, we would like to introduce now an efficient r.t. procedure for regionselective ring opening of various epoxides with thiols using a very mild and inexpensive medium under solvent-free conditions (Scheme 1).

SCHEME 1

Initially, 2-(phenoxymethyl)oxirane 1 was treated with an equimolar amount of thiophenol 2 in the presence of different ratios of $MgBr_2 \cdot OEt_2$ and under various sets of conditions. Deficient quantities of $MgBr_2 \cdot OEt_2$ (1 mol%) proved to be effective for complete conversion of the starting materials to the desired product as shown in Table I. As a result, rapid formation of $\bf 3a$ was observed in a pattern independent from the type of solvent (Table I, entries 1–8). Faster formation of $\bf 3a$ was noticed in less than 5 min when no solvent was used in the reaction mixtures (Table I, entries 9–11). Control experiments confirmed the combined promoting and catalytic effects of $MgBr_2 \cdot OEt_2$; an alternative reaction in the absence of the catalyst led to formation of only 32% of $\bf 3a$ after 10 h (Table I, entry 12).

The generality of the methodology was demonstrated by subjecting other epoxides to react with various aromatic and aliphatic thiols in the presence 1 mol% of $MgBr_2 \cdot OEt_2$ and under solvent free conditions (Table II). All experiments were completed in less than 5 min at r.t. as monitored by TLC.

 1 H NMR spectra of the crude reaction mixtures showed the formation of β -hydroxy sulfides $3\mathbf{a}$ – $3\mathbf{q}$ indicating that the nucleophilic attack of the thiols occurred at the less hindered position of the epoxides. In some instances, the presence of the opposite regioisomers was noticed in the crude mixtures in very small amounts (\sim 1%) proving the high regioselectivity of the reactions. Bulb-to-bulb distillation or column chromatography of the crude mixtures gave more than 90% of the desired products.

TABLE I Optimization of Thiolysis of 1 with 2 under Various Conditions at Ambient Temperature

PhO
$$\frac{\text{MgBr}_2.\text{OEt}_2}{1}$$
 PhO $\frac{\text{MgBr}_3.\text{OEt}_2}{3a}$ PhO $\frac{\text{SPh}}{3a}$

Entry	$MgBr_2.OEt_2 \ mol\%$	Solvent	Conversion a (%)
1	10.0	THF	95^b
2	1.0	THF	94^c
3	10.0	$C_6H_5CH_3$	93^b
4	1.0	$C_6H_5CH_3$	95^c
5	10.0	$\mathrm{CH_{3}CN}$	97^b
6	1.0	$\mathrm{CH_{3}CN}$	95^c
7	10.0	$\mathrm{CH_2Cl_2}$	94^b
8	1.0	$\mathrm{CH_2Cl_2}$	92^c
9	10.0		95^d
10	5.0	_	94^d
11	1.0	_	92^d
12	_	_	32^e

^aGas Chromatagrophy yields.

In summary, $MgBr_2 \cdot OEt_2$ -promoted ring opening of epoxides with thiols was carried out in less than 5 min under solvent-free conditions. Reactions proceeded with catalytic amounts of the Lewis acid, and the use of harsh conditions and tedious work-up procedures were avoided. High yields of the products, good regionselectivity of the ring openings, and rapid completion of the reactions are among other advantages of this methodology, which make it an attractive addition to the existing literature.

GENERAL PROCEDURE

A mixture of epoxide (5.0 mmol), thiol (5.2 mmol), and $MgBr_2 \cdot OEt_2$ (0.05 mmol) was stirred in a flask. The course of the reaction was monitored by TLC, and complete disappearance of the starting materials was observed within a few minutes. The mixture was dissolved in dichloromethane (10 mL) and washed twice with water (10 mL portions). The organic phase was dried over Na_2SO_4 , the solvent was

^bReactions completed in about 1 h.

^cReactions completed in 20–30 min.

^dReactions completed within a few minutes.

^eReactions completed after 10 h.

Epoxide	Thiol	Product		Yield (%)a
0	4-ClC ₆ H ₄ SH	R _{sc}	3b : $R = 4 - ClC_6H_4$	95
)	2-naphthylSH	S' Y OPh	3c: R = 2 naphthyl	98
V V PI	cyclohexylSH	ОН	3d: R = cyclohexyl	92
	furan-2-yl- $\mathrm{CH_2SH}$		$\mathbf{3e}$: R = CH ₂ (furan-2-yl)	95
0	C_6H_5SH	R _{>0}	3f : R = Ph	95
1> .0.	4-ClC ₆ H ₄ SH	S Y O T	$3g: R = 4 ClC_6H_4$	97
	2-naphthylSH	ОН	3h: R = 2-naphthyl	98
0,	C_6H_5SH	1	3l : Ar = Ph	95
✓	✓ 4-ClC ₆ H ₄ SH	R_{s}	$3j$: $Ar = 4$ - ClC_6H_4	93
	2-naphthylSH	ОН	3k : 2-naphthyl	96
0	C_6H_5SH	R.	3l Ar = Ph	93
	$4\text{-ClC}_6\mathrm{H}_4\mathrm{SH}$	S T CI	$3m$: $Ar = 4$ - ClC_6H_4	96
	2-naphthylSH	ОН	3n : 2-naphthyl	91
\wedge	C_6H_5SH	OH	3o: Ar = Ph	86
	$4\text{-ClC}_6\mathrm{H}_4\mathrm{SH}$		$3\mathbf{p}: Ar = 4\text{-}ClC_6H_4$	84
	2-naphthyl SH	\\\/\s^Ar	3q : 2-naphthyl	90

TABLE II Solvent-Free Thiolysis of Epoxides Under 1 Mol% MgBr₂.OEt₂ Catalysis

removed at reduced pressure, and the product was fractionated by column chromatography over silica gel or purified by bulb-to-bulb distillation, if necessary. The NMR, IR, and GC spectra of the products were obtained and compared with those existing in the literature.

Selected Spectroscopic Data

1-(Naphthalen-2-ylsulfanyl)-3-phenoxypropan-2-ol (3c)

 1H NMR: δ 2.70–2.88 (m, 2H), 3.20–3.35 (m, 2H), 3.90–4.01 (m, 2H), 6.75–7.90 (m, 12H). ^{13}C NMR: δ 44.4, 50.0, 68.5, 114.4, 121.5, 125.7, 126.9, 126.5, 127.2, 129.5, 129.0, 135.7, 139.3. MS: $\emph{m/z}$ (%) 310 (41) [M+], 173 (50), 159 (100), 115 (100), 77 (35). IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}$ 3426, 1583, 1071, 738 cm $^{-1}$. Anal. calcd. for $C_{19}H_{18}O_2S$: C, 73.52; H, 5.84. Found: C, 73.1; H, 5.5.

1-lsopropoxy-3-(phenylsulfanyl)propan-2-ol (3i)

 $^{1}\mathrm{H}$ NMR: δ 1.13 (d, J=6.5 Hz, 6H), 2.55–2.70 (m, 1H), 2.93–3.20 (m, 2H), 3.50–3.95 (m, 4H), 7.10–7.45 (m, 5H). $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR: δ 22.0, 38.1, 69.5, 71.3, 73.0, 127.5, 128.0, 129.3, 137.5. MS: m/z (%) 226 (16) [M+], 123 (26), 109 (100), 77 (24), 43 (17). IR (KBr): \tilde{v} 3431, 1588, 1086, 738 cm $^{-1}$. Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12}\mathrm{H}_{18}\mathrm{O}_{2}\mathrm{S}$: C, 63.68; H, 8.02. Found: C, 63.9; H, 8.3.

^aIsolated yields.

1-(4-chlorophenylsulfanyl)-3-isopropoxypropan-2-ol (3j)

 $^{1}\mathrm{H}$ NMR: δ 1.18 (d, J=6.5 Hz, 6H), 3.02–3.20 (m, 2H), 3.45–3.65 (m, 4H), 3.86–3.92 (m, 1H), 7.26–7.45 (m, 4H). MS: m/z (%) 260 (42) [M+], 157 (97), 143 (100), 99 (63), 73 (57), 43 (76). IR (neat): \tilde{v} 3443, 1575, 1093, 747 cm $^{-1}$. Anal. calcd. for $C_{12}H_{17}ClO_{2}S$: C, 55.27; H, 6.57. Found: C, 55.2; H, 6.7.

1-lsopropoxy-3-(naphthalen-2-ylsulfanyl)-propan-2-ol (3k)

 $^{1}\mathrm{H}$ NMR: δ 1.18 (d, J=6.5 Hz, 6H), 3.02–3.20 (m, 2H), 3.45–3.65 (m, 4H), 3.86–3.92 (m, 1H), 7.36–7.85 (m, 7H). $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR: δ 21.7, 37.3, 69.0, 71.5, 73.4, 114.0, 121.9, 125.7, 126.3, 126.5, 127.7, 129.0, 129.6, 135.7, 139.9. MS: m/z (%) 276 (13) [M+], 160 (49), 115 (53), 73 (73), 43 (100). IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}$ 3457, 1583, 1040, 738 cm $^{-1}$. Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16}\mathrm{H}_{20}\mathrm{O}_{2}\mathrm{S}$: C, 69.53; H, 7.29. Found: C, 69.4; H, 7.4.

1-Chloro-3-(4-chlorophenylsulfanyl)propan-2-ol (3m)

¹H NMR: δ 2.80–2.90 (br s, 1H), 3.10 (dd, J=7, 14.5 Hz, 1H), 3.19 (dd, J=5.5, 14 Hz, 1H), 3.9–3.74 (m, 2H), 3.94–3.98 (m, 1H), 7.26–7.45 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR: δ 38.1, 48.2, 69.6, 129.0, 130.5, 132.2, 135.4. MS: m/z (%) 237 (27) [M⁺], 194 (31), 157 (100), 143 (45), 108 (52), 75 (37), 45 (57). IR (neat): $\tilde{\nu}$ 3421, 1572, 1093, 742 cm⁻¹. Anal. calcd. for C₉H₁₀Cl₂OS: C, 45.58; H, 4.25. Found: C, 45.7; H, 4.1.

REFERENCES

- [1] (a) J. R. Luly, N. Yi, J. Soderquist, H. Stein, J. Cohen, T. J. Perun, et al., J. Med. Chem., 30, 1609 (1987); (b) D. Amantini, F. Fringuelli, O. Piermatti, and L. Vaccaro, Arkivoc, xi, 293 (2002).
- [2] (a) E. J. Corey, D. A. Clark, G. Goto, A. Marfat, C. Mioskowski, B. Samuelsson, et al., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 102, 3663 (1980); (b) E. J. Corey, D. A. Clark, and G. Goto, Tetrahedron Lett., 21, 3143 (1980).
- [3] (a) S. Hammarstrom, B. Samuelsson, D. A. Clark, G. Goto, A. Marfat, C. Mioskowski, et al., *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commum.*, **92**, 946 (1980); (b) E. J. Corey, D. A. Clark, G. Goto, A. Marfat, C. Mioskowski, B. Samuelsson, et al., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **102**, 1436 (1980).
- [4] (a) J. S. Yadav, B. V. S. Reddy, and G. Baishya, Chem. Lett., 906 (2002); (b) F. Fringuelli, F. Pizzo, S. Tortoioli, and L. Vaccaro, Tetrahedron Lett., 44, 6785 (2003); (c) F. Fringuelli, F. Pizzo, S. Tortoioli, and L. Vaccaro, Adv. Synth. Catal., 344, 379 (2002).
- [5] (a) D. Amantini, F. Fringuelli, F. Pizzo, S. Tortoioli, and L. Vaccaro, Synlett., 2292 (2003); (b) F. Fringuelli, F. Pizzo, S. Tortoioli, and L. Vaccaro, J. Org. Chem., 68, 8248 (2003).
- [6] S. Chandrasekhar, C. R. Reddy, B. N. Babu, and G. Chandrashekar, Tetrahedron Lett., 43, 3801 (2002).
- [7] N. Iranpoor, I. M. Baltork, and F. S. Zardaloo, Tetrahedron, 47, 9861 (1991).
- [8] V. Kesavan, D. Bonnet-Delpon, and J. P. Begue, Tetrahedron Lett., 41, 2895 (2000).

- [9] (a) J. Cossy, V. Bellosta, C. Hamior, and J. R. Desmurs, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 43, 7083 (2002); (b) J. Cossy, V. Bellosta, V. Alauze, and J. R. Desmurs, *Synthesis*, 15, 2211 (2002).
- [10] J. Iqbal, A. Pandey, A. Shukla, R. R. Srivastava, and S. Tripathi, *Tetrahedron*, 46, 6423 (1990).
- [11] (a) G. H. Posner and D. Z. Rogers, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99, 8208 (1977); (b) G. H. Posner and D. Z. Rogers, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99, 8214 (1977).
- [12] A. K Maiti and P. Bhattacharyya, Tetrahedron, 50, 10483 (1994).
- [13] T. Iida, N. Yamamoto, H. Sasai, and M Shibasaki, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 119, 4783 (1997).
- [14] B. K. Sarmah and N. C. Barua, Tetrahedron, 47, 8587 (1991).
- [15] V. Polshettiwar and M. P. Kaushik, Catalysis Commun. 5, 515 (2004).
- [16] A. E. Vougioukas and H. B. Kagan, Tetrahedron Lett., 28, 6065 (1987).
- [17] J. Wu and H. G. Xia, Green Chem., 7, 708 (2005).
- [18] (a) M. M. Mojtahedi, H. Abbasi, M. S. Abaee, and B. Mohebali, Monatsh. Chem., 137, 455 (2006); (b) M. Chini, P. Crotti, E. Giovani, F. Macchia, and M. Pineschi, Synlett. 303 (1992).
- [19] (a) M. M. Mojtahedi, H. Ghasemi, M. S. Abaee, and M. Bolourtchian, Arkivoc, 68 (2005); (b) V. Pironti and S. Colonna, Green Chem., 7, 43 (2005).
- [20] F. Fringuelli, F. Pizzo, C. Vittoriani, and L. Vaccaro, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 1231 (2006).
- [21] D. Albanese, D. Landini, and M. Penso, Synthesis, 34 (1994).
- [22] (a) C. Malanga and S. Mannucci, Tetrahedron Lett., 42, 2023 (2001); (b) S. Yamada, S. Yaguchi, and K. Matsuda, Tetrahedron lett., 43, 647 (2002); (c) S. K. Tipparaju, S. K. Mandal, S. Sur, V. G. Puranik, and A. Sarkar, Chem. Commun., 1924 (2002); (d) B. Z. Zheng, M. Yamauchi, H. Dei, S. Kusaka, K. Matsui, and O. Yonemitsu, Tetrahedron Lett., 41, 6441 (2000); (e) A. Vakalopoulos and H. M. Hoffmann, Org. Lett., 2, 1447 (2000); (f) P. Lupattelli, C. Bonini, L. Caruso, and A. Gambacorta, J. Org. Chem., 68, 3360 (2003); (g) S. K. Tipparaju, V. G. Puranik, and A. Sarkar, Org. Biomol. Chem. 1, 1720 (2003); (h) D. E. Ward, M. J. Hrapchak, and M. Sales, Org. Lett., 2, 57 (2000).
- [23] D. E. Ward and M. S. Abaee, Org. Lett., 2, 3937 (2000).
- [24] M. S. Abaee, R. Sharifi, and M. M. Mojtahedi, Org. Lett., 7, 5893 (2005).
- [25] M. S. Abaee, M. M. Mojtahedi, M. M. Zahedi, and R. Sharifi, *Heteroatom Chem.*, 18, 44 (2007).
- [26] M. M. Mojtahedi, M. S. Abaee, and H. Abbasi, Can. J. Chem., 429 (2006).
- [27] M. M. Mojtahedi, H. Abbasi, and M. S. Abaee, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 250, 6 (2006).